IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MCALLEN DIVISION

NESTOR ELIZONDO	§		
	§		
Plaintiff,	§		
	§		
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO	
	§		
AMERICAN MULTI-CINEMA, INC.	§		
D/B/A AMC THEATRES EDINBURG	§		
18,	§		
	§		
Defendant.	§		

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT:

Pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. §§1332, 1441(a) and (e), and 1446, Defendant American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AMC Edinburg 18, incorrectly named d/b/a AMC Theatres Edinburg 18, files this *Notice of Removal* to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, McAllen Division, on the basis of diversity of citizenship and amount in controversy, and respectfully shows the following:

I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 1. On April 16, 2020, an action was commenced by Plaintiff Nestor Elizondo (Plaintiff) in the 206th Judicial District Court of Hidalgo County, Texas styled *Nestor Elizondo v. American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AMC Theatres Edinburg 18*, Cause No. C-1382-20-D. *See* Exhibit 2.
- 3. On April 30, 2020, Defendant was served with a citation and Plaintiff's Original Petition through its registered agent. See **Exhibit 3.**
- 4. On May 22, 2020, Defendant filed its Original Answer in the 206th Judicial District Court of Hidalgo County, Texas. **See Exhibit 4.**

NOTICE OF REMOVAL 8065461v1

Page 1

- 5. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs. *See* **Exhibit 2.**
- 6. Defendant files this notice of removal within 30 days of receiving service of Plaintiff's pleading. *See* 28 U.S.C. §1446(b).
 - 7. Attached hereto are copies of the following documents:
 - **Exhibit 1:** Civil Cover Sheet;
 - **Exhibit 2:** Plaintiff's Original Petition and Request for Disclosure;
 - **Exhibit 3:** Citation and Return of Service for Defendant American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AMC Theatres Edinburg 18;
 - **Exhibit 4:** Original Answer of Defendant American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AMC Edinburg 18;
 - **Exhibit 5:** Index of Matters Being Filed;
 - **Exhibit 6:** List of Parties and Counsel;
 - **Exhibit 7:** Defendant's Corporate Disclosure Statement; and
 - **Exhibit 8:** The State Court's Docket Sheet.

II. BASIS FOR REMOVAL

8. Removal is proper based upon diversity of citizenship under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a)(1), 1441(a), and 1446.

A. Plaintiff and Defendant Are Diverse

- 9. As set forth in Plaintiff's Original Petition, Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of Texas. See **Exhibit 2** at ¶ 3.1.
- 10. At the time the lawsuit was filed, Defendant was and continues to be a Missouri corporation with its primary place of business in Leawood, Kansas.
 - 11. Complete diversity exists between Plaintiff and Defendant.

B. The Amount in Controversy Exceeds the Jurisdictional Requirements for Subject Matter Jurisdiction

12. In Plaintiff's Original Petition, Plaintiff seeks "monetary relief of \$100,000,000.00 or less." See **Exhibit 2** at ¶ 9.1. Therefore, the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional requirements.

III. THE REMOVAL IS PROCEDURALLY CORRECT

- 13. Defendant first received notice of this lawsuit on April 30, 2020 when it was served with Plaintiff's Original Petition. *See* **Exhibit 3**. Thus, Defendant files this Notice within the 30-day period required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).
- 14. Venue is proper in this District and Division under 28 U.S.C. §1446(a) because i) this District and Division include the county in which the state action has been pending, and ii) a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims allegedly occurred in this District and Division. *See* **Exhibit 2** at ¶ 5.2 and 6.1.
- 14. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(a), all pleadings, process, orders, and all other filings in the state court action are attached to this Notice.
- 15. Promptly after Defendant files this *Notice of Removal*, written notice of the filing will be given to Plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d).
- 16. Promptly after Defendant files this *Notice of Removal*, a true and correct copy of same will be filed with the Clerk of the Hidalgo County District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d).

IV. CONCLUSION

17. BASED ON THE FOREGOING, Defendant American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AMC Edinburg 18, incorrectly named as d/b/a AMC Theatres Edinburg 18, requests that this action be removed from the 206th Judicial district Court of Hidalgo

NOTICE OF REMOVAL Page 3

County, Texas to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, McAllen Division.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.

By: ____/s/ Heather H. Phelps_

HEATHER H. PHELPS, ATTORNEY IN CHARGE

Southern District Bar No. 3554181

State Bar No. 24042118

KAITLIN J. CSWAYKUS

Southern District Bar No. 3352943

State Bar No. 24094607

700 N. Pearl Street, 25th Floor

Dallas, Texas 75201 Phone: (214) 871-8200

Fax: (214) 871-8209

Email: <u>hphelps@thompsoncoe.com</u>

kcswaykus@thompsoncoe.com

ROERIG, OLIVEIRA & FISHER, L.L.P.

VICTOR V. VICINAIZ

Southern District Bar No. 10956

State Bar No. 20562300

10225 N. Tenth Street

McAllen, Texas 78504

Phone: (956) 393-6300

Fax: (956) 386-1625

Email: <u>vvicinaiz@rofllp.com</u>

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
AMERICAN MULTI-CINEMA, INC. D/B/A

AMC EDINBURG 18

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on June 1, 2020, a true and correct copy of the foregoing instrument was delivered to all known counsel of record in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and any applicable Local Rules, as follows:

Via E-file:

Richard A. Hinojosa Hinojosa Law, PLLC 150 W. Parker Road, Suite 201 Houston, Texas 77076 Tel: (713) 884-1663 Fax: (713) 422-2493

richardhinojosa@hinojosalaw.com

e-service@hinojosalaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

/s/ Heather H. Phelps	
HEATHER H. PHELPS	

EXHIBIT 1

Case 7:20-cv-00140 Doccores 15- Tiled convocation TXSD Page 7 of 42

CAUSE NUMBER (FOR CLERK USE ONLY):

COURT (FOR CLERK USE ONLY):

STYLED NESTOR ELIZONDO v. American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AMC Theatres Edinburg 18 (e.g., John Smith v. All American Insurance Co; In re Mary Ann Jones; In the Matter of the Estate of George Jackson)

A civil case information sheet must be completed and submitted when an original petition or application is filed to initiate a new civil, family law, probate, or mental health case or when a post-judgment petition for modification or motion for enforcement is filed in a family law case. The information should be the best available at the

time of fining.						
1. Contact information for person	on completing case information she	eet:	Names of parties in ca	ase:		or entity completing sheet is:
Name: Richard A. Hinojosa	Email: richardhinojosa@hinojosala		Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s Nestor Elizondo	s):	□ <i>Pro Se</i> : □Title IV	y for Plaintiff/Petitioner Plaintiff/Petitioner '-D Agency
Address: 150 W. Parker Rd., Ste. 201	Telephone: 713-884-1663		Defendant(s)/Responde	` /	Other:	ll Parties in Child Support Case:
City/State/Zip: Houston, TX 77076	Fax: 713-422-2493		AMC Theatres Edin		Custodial	Parent:
Signature:	State Bar No: 24068885		[Attach additional page as nec	essary to list all parties]	Non-Cust Presumed	odial Parent:
/s/ Richard A. Hinojosa					Presumed	ramer:
2. Indicate case type, or identify	the most important issue in the cas	se (select o	nly 1):			
	Civil				Fam	ily Law
Contract	Injury or Damage		teal Property	Marriage Relati	onship	Post-judgment Actions (non-Title IV-D)
Debt/Contract Consumer/DTPA Debt/Contract Fraud/Misrepresentation Other Debt/Contract: Foreclosure Home Equity—Expedited Other Foreclosure Franchise Insurance Landlord/Tenant Non-Competition Partnership Other Contract:	□ Assault/Battery □ Construction □ Defamation Malpractice □ Accounting □ Legal □ Medical □ Other Professional ■ Liability: □ Motor Vehicle Accident ☑ Premises Product Liability □ Asbestos/Silica □ Other Product Liability ■ List Product: □ Other Injury or Damage:	Cond Partiti Quiet Trespa Other Rela Expur Judgm Non-I Seizum Writ o Pre-ii	Title ass to Try Title Property: - Ited to Criminal Matters action annt Nisi Disclosure re/Forfeiture of Habeas Corpus— andictment	Other Family Declare Marria Divorce With Children No Children Other Family Enforce Foreig Judgment Habeas Corpus Name Change Protective Orde Removal of Diof Minority Other:	r Law n	□Enforcement □Modification—Custody □Modification—Other Title IV-D □Enforcement/Modification □Paternity □Reciprocals (UIFSA) □Support Order Parent-Child Relationship □Adoption/Adoption with Termination □Child Protection □Child Support □Custody or Visitation □Gestational Parenting □Grandparent Access □Paternity/Parentage
Employment	Other					Termination of Parental
□Discrimination □Retaliation □Termination □Workers' Compensation □Other Employment:	☐Administrative Appeal ☐Antitrust/Unfair Competition ☐Code Violations ☐Foreign Judgment ☐Intellectual Property	□Perpet □Securi	er Discipline tuate Testimony ities/Stock ous Interference :			Rights □Other Parent-Child:
Tax			Probate & Me	ental Health		
□Tax Appraisal □Tax Delinquency □Other Tax	Probate/Wills/Intestate Administ □Dependent Administration □Independent Administration □Other Estate Proceedings			Guardianship—Adul Guardianship—Minc Mental Health Other:		
3. Indicate procedure or remedy	y, if applicable (may select more tha	ın 1):				
□Appeal from Municipal or Justice Court □Declaratory Judgment □Prejudgment Remedy □Arbitration-related □Garnishment □Protective Order □Attachment □Interpleader □Receiver □Bill of Review □License □Sequestration □Certiorari □Mandamus □Temporary Restraining Order/Injunction □Class Action □Post-judgment □Turnover						
	not select if it is a family law case):					
□Less than \$100,000, including □Less than \$100,000 and non-m □Over \$100,000 but not more th □Over \$200,000 but not more th □Over \$1,000,000	nan \$200,000	s, expenses,	, pre-judgment interest,	, and attorney fees		

EXHIBIT 2

#/16/2020 5:26 PM
Hidalgo County District Clerks
Reviewed By: Alessandra Galvan

CAUSE	C-13 NO	82-20-D
NESTOR ELIZONDO,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	•
VS.	§	HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
AMERICAN MULTI-CINEMA, INC. D/B/	/A §	
AMC THEATRES EDINBURG 18,	§	
Defendant.	§	JUDICIAL DISTRICT

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION & REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Nestor Elizondo ("Plaintiff") files his Original Petition & Request for Disclosure against American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AMC Theatres Edinburg 18, ("Defendant") and would respectfully show this Court and Jury as follows:

I. DISCOVERY CONTROL PLAN

1.1 Pursuant to Rule 190.1 and Rule 190.3 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff alleges that discovery in this case should be conducted under Level 2.

II. REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

2.1 Pursuant to Rule 194 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant is requested to disclose the information and material described in Rule 194.2 within **fifty days** of the service of this request.

III. PARTIES

- 3.1 Plaintiff Nestor Elizondo is a resident of Edinburg, Hidalgo County, TX. The last three digits of Plaintiff's social security number are 319.
- 3.2 Defendant American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AMC Theatres Edinburg 18 (hereinafter referred to as "AMC Edinburg 18"), foreign for-profit entity authorized to do business in the State of Texas. Process should be served on Defendant by serving its registered agent, Corporate 4-30-20 at 1.00 pm

Ewanshia J. Graham SCH# 08625 EXP 06/30/2020

4/16/2020 5:26 PM

Hidalgo County District Clerks

Reviewed By: Alessandra Galvan

C-1382-20-D

Creations Network Inc., at 5444 Westheimer #1000, Houston, TX 77056 or wherever they may be found.

IV. MISNOMER/ALTER EGO

4.1 In the event any parties are misnamed or are not included herein, it is Plaintiff's contention that such was a "misidentification," "misnomer" and/or such parties are/were "alter egos" of parties named herein. Alternatively, Plaintiff contends that such "corporate veils" should be pierced to hold such parties properly included in the interest of justice.

V. <u>VENUE & JURISDICTION</u>

- 5.1 This court has jurisdiction in this cause since the damages to Plaintiff exceed the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court.
- 5.2 Venue is proper in Hidalgo County, Texas, because it is the county in which all or a substantial part of the events and/or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred. Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code §§ 15.002(a)(2) and 15.005.

VI. FACTS

On or about January 21, 2020 Plaintiff was at AMC Edinburg 18 located at 3003 US-281, Edinburg, TX 78539. After the movie ended, Plaintiff was walking out of the theater, and into the main lobby area, when he suddenly and violently tripped and fell. Plaintiff immediately lost consciousness. When he came to, Plaintiff was in excruciating pain. He noticed he was bleeding from his head as blood gushed into his eyes. Plaintiff soon realized he could not move his arms as he attempted to wipe the blood from his eyes. He then noticed he could not move his legs or hands and feared the worst. Plaintiff was transported via ambulance to Doctors Renaissance Hospital in Edinburg, TX, where he was told he had suffered a traumatic cervical spinal cord injury, and was paralyzed from the neck down. Since the incident occurred, Plaintiff has experienced the

unimaginable, undergoing several emergency surgical procedures to keep him alive, and has been told by his doctors that if he survives, he will be a quadripalegic for the rest of his life.

- 6.2 Based on eyewitness testimony, Defendant's employees and managers had actual knowledge and constructive notice of the defective condition, and a reasonable inspection would have uncovered that defective condition prior to the incident. Plaintiff's fall was due to Defendant's negligence and failure to maintain its premises in a safe condition during normal hours of operation.
- 6.3 This was a condition which posed an unreasonable risk of harm. Defendant was grossly negligent in not informing its invitees or posting sufficient signs warning patrons of this clear and unreasonable risk of harm. There was no information given about the dangerous carpet. There was no information given about the dangerous condition between the carpet and tile transition on Defendant's premises, and there were no signs to warn of the dangerous conditions. Nothing Plaintiff did or failed to do in any way contributed to his injuries.
- 6.4 Plaintiff specifically invokes his right to institute against whatever entity was conducting business under the assumed or common names of "AMC Edinburg 18," with regard to the events described in this Petition. Plaintiff expressly invokes his right under Rule 28 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure to have the true name of these parties substituted at a later time upon the motion of any party or of the Court.

VII. <u>NEGLIGENCE OF DEFENDANT</u>

7.1 Defendant owed a legal duty to Plaintiff. Specifically, Defendant owed a duty to exercise reasonable care in informing its invitees and maintaining its movie theater, property, floors, tile, and carpets in a safe condition during normal hours of operation. Defendant owed a duty to make sure their invitees were informed about the dangerous carpet condition, and the dangerous

conditions between the carpet and tile transition in their control and on their premises. Defendant owed a duty to make sure its premises remained safe at all times.

- 7.2 Defendant breached the duty owed to Plaintiff by failing to properly inform its invitees and properly maintain its movie theater, lobby, property, floors, tile, and carpets in a safe condition. Defendant was negligent on the occasion in question and proximately caused Plaintiff's injuries by:
 - A. In failing to inform their invitees and Plaintiff of the dangerous carpet installation, deterioration, and faulty condition;
 - B. In failing to inform their invitees and Plaintiff of the carpet and tile transition, which was a dangerous condition;
 - In failing to monitor the condition of the premises, lobby, property, floors,
 tile, and the carpets in its movie theater;
 - D. In failing to properly train its employees;
 - E. In failing to promptly inspect its properties when purchased;
 - F. In failing to promptly inspect its premises:
 - G. In failing to promptly repair and routinely maintain the condition of the floors, carpets, tile, and transitions in the lobby;
 - H. In failing to mark off specific areas as hazardous or dangerous;
 - I. In failing to provide proper supervision;
 - J. In failing to provide adequate safety procedures;
 - K. In failing to provide policies to remedy the issues with the carpet, tile, and transitions, which was a dangerous condition and/or hazard that it knew of, or

in the exercise of ordinary care, should have known was present in its movie theater;

- L. In failing to warn others that dangerous conditions existed;
- M. Choosing not to properly rope off the entire area where the carpet transitioned into the tile into the lobby;
- N. In creating a hazard to others; and
- O. In more particularity to be shown at trial.
- 7.3 Plaintiff did not receive any warnings of the hazardous conditions of their carpets, tile, and transitions prior to the incident, and was without fault. Defendant and their agents, servants and/or employees were responsible for communication of information, the safety, maintenance, condition, securing and inspection of the carpet, tile and transitions.
- 7.4 At the time in question, Defendant controlled the exact property, lobby, floors, tile, carpets, and premises in question where the incident took place. Defendant owed and assumed a duty to provide information and a safe property, lobby, floors, tile, carpets, and premises. The condition of the carpets, tile, and the transitions, and the failure to properly inspect, repair, warn, secure, maintain, and inspect the carpets, tile, and the transitions posed an unreasonable risk of harm of which the Defendant had actual or constructive knowledge. Defendant breached their duty of ordinary care by failing to adequately warn Plaintiff and by failing to make the premises safe from the unreasonable carpets and tile transitions, which was a dangerous condition. The Defendant's failure to exercise reasonable care proximately caused the incident in question and resulting damages.
- 7.5 Each and every act and/or omission of the above, collectively and/or singularly, were a proximate cause of the incident in question and the resulting injuries to Plaintiff.

Pagelectronically Filed 4/16/2020 5:26 PM Hidalgo County District Clerks Reviewed By: Alessandra Galvan

C-1382-20-D

VIII. PREMISES LIABILITY

- 8.1 It has become necessary to bring this lawsuit by reason of injuries and damages suffered by Plaintiff on January 21, 2020, while on the premises owned, operated and/or maintained by Defendant, located at 3003 US-281, Edinburg, TX 78539. Plaintiff would show that on or about that date, he was an invitee on the premises when suddenly and without warning Plaintiff was injured due to the defective carpet, and tile transitions, which was a dangerous condition existing on Defendant's premises, causing Plaintiff serious permanent and painful bodily injuries. Defendant requested, allowed, permitted and encouraged Plaintiff to enter onto the premises. Consequently, Plaintiff was an invitee whom Defendant owed a duty to use ordinary care, including the duty to inspect, protect and guard Plaintiff from the unreasonable defective carpet, and tile transitions, which was a dangerous condition existing on its premises or to warn him of its existence. Plaintiff was exercising ordinary care when he was suddenly and unexpectedly injured. Plaintiff was seriously injured due to the defective carpet, and tile transitions, which was a dangerous condition and/or hazard existing on the premises which Defendant, its agents, servants, and employees knew or should have known existed caused by Defendant. As a result of the aforesaid dangerous condition, Plaintiff sustained severe and permanent injuries. Plaintiff would show that nothing he did nor failed to do caused, or in any way contributed to cause, the occurrence in question. Further, Plaintiff would respectfully show unto this Honorable Court and Jury that the Defendant failed to perform the above-stated duties and, therefore, was negligent as that term is understood under the laws of the State of Texas in one or more of the following respects:
- (1) in failing to inspect and/or continually have the premises inspected for dangerous conditions and/or hazards located on the premises;

- (2) in failing to remedy the dangerous condition of the defective carpet, and tile transitions, which was a dangerous condition and/or hazard that it knew of, or in the exercise of ordinary care, should have known was present on its premises;
- (3) in failing to warn persons similarly situated to Plaintiff, including Plaintiff, of the dangerous condition of the defective carpet, and tile transitions, which was a dangerous condition and/or hazard located on said premises; and
 - in more particularity to be shown at trial.

Each and all of the above and foregoing acts on the part of the Defendant, both of omission and commission, were negligent and constituted negligence and negligence per se, and were each and all a proximate cause of the occurrence in question and the injuries and damages suffered by Plaintiff.

8.2 In the alternative, without waiving the foregoing, Plaintiff would show that he was a licensee on the premises in question occupied and/or controlled by Defendant. Defendant knew of its unreasonable defective carpet, and tile transitions, existing on their premises, which posed an unreasonable risk of harm to the general public, including Plaintiff Nestor Elizondo, but failed to make safe or adequately warn him of the condition. Plaintiff was without knowledge of the dangerous conditions of the defective carpet, and tile transitions, which was a dangerous condition of the premises prior to the incident in question.

IX. TOTAL DAMAGES

9.1 As a result of the incident made the basis of this lawsuit, Plaintiff at this time is seeking monetary relief of \$100,000,000.00 or less, at this time and a demand for judgment for all other relief to which the Plaintiff is entitled; however, Plaintiff reserves the right to file an amended pleading on this issue.

X. DAMAGES

- 10.1 Plaintiff Nestor Elizondo has suffered damages in the form of physical pain and injury, mental and emotional anguish, pain and suffering in the past, pain and suffering in the future, past and future earning capacity and economic loss, past and future physical impairment, disfigurement and past and future lost wages. Plaintiff sustained reasonable and necessary past and future medical bills and expenses, and he will, in all reasonable probability, continue to sustain such legal elements of damages in the future beyond the date of the trial of this cause.
- 10.2 Therefore, Plaintiff maintains this suit against Defendants for each of his foregoing legal elements of damages in a just and reasonable sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Honorable Court, to be determined under the sound discretion of the trier of fact or jury.

XI. PUNITIVE/EXEMPLARY DAMAGES

11.1 Plaintiff hereby sues the Defendant for punitive/exemplary damages. The facts and circumstances of this case allows the Court and jury to award the Plaintiff punitive/exemplary damages in that Defendant is guilty of malice and gross negligence. The determination of the amount of punitive/exemplary damages is left up to the discretion of the Court and jury in this case.

XII. GROSS NEGLIGENCE AGAINST DEFENDANT

- 12.1 Plaintiff would further show that Defendant was grossly negligent, as that term is defined and applied under Texas law. Specifically, Plaintiff would show that the negligent acts and/or omissions of Defendant, as set forth above, constitute acts or omissions:
 - a. which, when viewed objectively from the standpoint of Defendant, involved an extreme degree of risk, considering the probability and magnitude of the potential harm to Plaintiff and/or others; and

- b. of which Defendant had actual, subjective awareness of the risks involved, but nevertheless proceeded with conscious indifference to the rights, safety or welfare of Plaintiff and/or other.
- 12.2 Such gross negligence was a proximate cause of the incident made the basis of this lawsuit and the injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff.

XIII. PRE-JUDGMENT AND POST-JUDGMENT INTEREST

13.1 In addition to the above mentioned and foregoing allegations, Plaintiff further pleads that he is entitled to pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the highest rate allowed by law.

XIV. COURT COSTS

14.1 Plaintiff further seeks recovery of the costs of court incurred in the filing and pendency of this action.

XV. PRESERVING EVIDENCE

Plaintiff hereby requests and demands that Defendant preserve and maintain all evidence pertaining to any claim or defense related to the incident made the basis of this lawsuit or the damages resulting there from, including statements, photographs, videotapes, audiotapes, surveillance or security tapes or information, business or medical records, incident reports, tenant files, periodic reports, financial statements, bills, telephone call slips or records, estimates, invoices, checks, measurements, correspondence, facsimiles, email, voice mail, text messages, any evidence involving the incident in question, and any electronic image or information related to the referenced incident or damages. Failure to maintain such items will constitute "spoliation" of the evidence.

XVI. NOTICE OF AUTHENTICATION OF DOCUMENTS

- 16.1 Plaintiff hereby provides actual notice to Defendant and other parties that Plaintiff will use any or every document produced by any and all other parties in response to written discovery and discovery in a pretrial proceeding or at trial.
- 16.2 Pursuant to Texas Rules of Civil Procedure 193.7, Defendant's production of a document in response to written discovery authenticates that document for use against Defendant unless within ten days or a longer or shorter time ordered by this Court Defendant objects to the authenticity of the document, or any part of it, stating the specific basis for his objection. An objection must be either on the record or in writing and must have a good faith factual and legal basis. An objection made to the authenticity of only part of a document does not affect the authenticity of the remainder.

XVII. <u>ALTERNATIVE PARAGRAPH NO. 1</u>

17.1 In the alternative, Plaintiff would show that if any injury and/or condition from which he currently suffers was pre-existing, then such condition was aggravated and/or exacerbated by the negligence of the Defendant herein.

XVIII. ALTERNATIVE PARAGRAPH NO. 2

18.1 In the alternative, Plaintiff would show that if he suffers from any subsequent injury and/or condition, then such injury and/or condition was aggravated and/or exacerbated by the negligence of the Defendant herein.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff Nestor Elizondo requests that Defendant be cited to appear and answer herein, that upon final trial and other hearing of this cause, Plaintiff recover damages from Defendant, jointly and severally, in accordance with the evidence,

Page Electropically Filed 4/16/2020 5:26 PM Hidalgo County District Clerks Reviewed By: Alessandra Galvan

C-1382-20-D

and as the jury deem them deserving, that Plaintiff recover costs of court herein expended, that Plaintiff recover interest to which he is justly entitled under the law, both pre-judgment and post-judgment interest from the date of the entry until paid, and for such other further relief, both general and special, both in law and in equity, to which Plaintiff may be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

HINOJOSA LAW, PLLC

By: /s/ Richard A. Hinojosa
Richard A. Hinojosa
State Bar No. 24068885
150 W. Parker Rd., Ste. 201
Houston TX 77076
(713) 884-1663 Telephone
(713) 422-2493 Facsimile
richardhinojosa@hinojosalaw.com
e-service@hinojosalaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

APR 3 3 2020

EXHIBIT 3



Corporate Creations Network Inc.

801 US Highway 1 North Palm Beach, FL 33408

American Multi-Cinema, Inc. Gail McClenton Legal Administrator AMC - American Multi-Cinema, Inc. 11500 Ash Street Leawood KS 66211 May 01, 2020

Item: 2020-113

SERVICE OF PROCESS NOTICE

The following is a courtesy summary of the enclosed document(s). ALL information should be verified by you.

Note: Any questions regarding the substance of the matter described below, including the status or how to respond, should be directed to the contact set forth in line 12 below or to the court or government agency where the matter is being heard. IMPORTANT: All changes or updates to the SOP contact individuals or their contact information must be submitted in writing to SOPcontact@corpcreations.com. Any changes will become effective upon written confirmation of Corporate Creations.

1.	Entity Served: Entity Served If Different:	American Multi-Cinema, Inc. American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AMC Theatres Edinburg 18		
2.	Title of Action:	Nestor Elizondo vs. American Multi-Cinema, Inc. D/B/A AMC Theatres Edinburg 18		
3.	Document(s) Served:	Citation Officer's Return Plaintiff's Original Petition and Request for Disclosure		
4.	Court/Agency:	dalgo County 206th District Court		
5.	State Served:	Texas		
6.	Case Number:	C-1382-20-D		
7.	Case Type:	Premises Liability		
8.	Method of Service:	Hand Delivered		
9.	Date Received:	Thursday 04/30/2020		
10.	Date to Client:	Friday 05/01/2020		
11.	# Days When Answer Due: Answer Due Date:	See Notes CAUTION: Client is solely responsible for verifying the accuracy of the estimated Answer Due Date. To avoid missing a crucial deadline, we recommend immediately confirming in writing with opposing counsel that the date of the service in their records matches the Date Received.		
12.	Sop Sender: (Name, City, State, and Phone Number)	Richard A. Hinojosa Houston, TX 713-884-1663		
13.	Shipped To Client By:	Email Only with PDF Link		
14.	Tracking Number:			
15.	Handled By:	441		
16.	Notes:	Please note the answer is due by 10:00am on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty (20) days after service		

NOTE: This notice and the information above is provided for general informational purposes only and should not be considered a legal opinion. The client and their legal counsel are solely responsible for reviewing the service of process and verifying the accuracy of all information. At Corporate Creations, we take pride in developing systems that effectively manage risk so our clients feel comfortable with the reliability of our service. We always deliver service of process so our clients avoid the risk of a default judgment. As registered agent, our role is to receive and forward service of process. To decrease risk for our clients, it is not our role to determine the merits of whether service of process is valid and effective. It is the role of legal counsel to assess whether service of process is invalid or defective. Registered agent services are provided by Corporate Creations Network Inc.

C-1382-20-D 206TH DISTRICT COURT, HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS

CITATION THE STATE OF TEXAS

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 a.m. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty (20) days after you were served with this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you.

American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AMC Theatres Edinburg 18 5444 Westheimer #1000 Houston TX 77056 Or wherever they may be found

You are hereby commanded to appear by filing a written answer to the **PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION & REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE** on or before 10:00 o'clock a.m. on the Monday next after the expiration of twenty (20) days after the date of service hereof, before the **Honorable Rose G. Reyna, 206th District Court** of Hidalgo County, Texas at the Courthouse at 100 North Closner, Edinburg, Texas 78539.

Said petition was filed on this the 16th day of April, 2020 and a copy of same accompanies this citation. The file number and style of said suit being C-1382-20-D, NESTOR ELIZONDO VS. AMERICAN MULTI-CINEMA, INC. D/B/A AMC THEATRES EDINBURG 18

Said Petition was filed in said court by Attorney RICHARD A. HINOJOSA, 150 W PARKER RD STE 605 HOUSTON TX 77076.

The nature of the demand is fully shown by a true and correct copy of the petition accompanying this citation and made a part hereof.

The officer executing this writ shall promptly serve the same according to requirements of law, and the mandates thereof, and make due return as the law directs.

ISSUED AND GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL of said Court at Edinburg, Texas on this the 20th day of April, 2020.

LAURA HINOJOSA, DISTRICT CLERK 100 N. CLOSNER, EDINBURG, TEXAS HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS

ADRIANA GARCIA, DEPUTY CLERK

C-1382-20-D OFFICER'S RETURN

Came to hand on of	' 	, 202	2 at	o'clock _	m. and
executed in					
Defendant in person, a tru-					
delivery to said Defend					
	(pe	etition) at th	e following t	imes and place	ces, to-wit:
			T		
NAME	DATE	TIME	PLACE		
And not executed as to the	defendant.				the
And not executed as to the diligence used in finding	said defenda	nt, being:			and the
cause of failure to execu	ite this pro	cess is:			and the
cause of failure to execuinformation received as	to the	whereabo	uts of sa	id defenda	nt, being:
service of this citation, in ad					
of other process in the same	case during t	the same tri	p.		
Fees: serving copy(s) \$_ miles\$_					
miles\$_					
DEPUTY					
COMPLETE IF Y	OHADE DI	EDSON O	rued Tual	N A CHEDII	ישר
	TABLE OR				Έ,
In accordance to Rule 107,					attemnts to
serve a citation must sign to					
sheriff, constable or the cler					
under the penalty of perjury					
statement below in substanti					
"My name is			, r	ny date of	f birth is
and the					,and I
declare under penalty of per	jury that the	foregoing is	true and cor	rect.	
EXECUTED inC	County, State	of Texas.	on the	day of	
202		·		_	
Doolo422					
Declarant"					
If Certified by the Suprem	e Court of T	'exas			
Date of Expiration / PSC N					

EXHIBIT 4

Electronically Filed 5/22/20204:23 PM Hidalgo County District Clerks Reviewed By: Andria Garcia

CAUSE NO. C-1382-20-D

NESTOR ELIZONDO,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
	§	
v.	§	206 TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
	§	
	§	
AMERICAN MULTI-CINEMA, INC.	§	
D/B/A AMC THEATRES EDINBURG 18,	§	
Defendant.	§	HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS

DEFENDANT AMERICAN MULTI-CINEMA, INC. D/B/A AMC EDINBURG 18'S ORIGINAL ANSWER

Defendant AMERICAN MULTI-CINEMA, INC. D/B/A AMC EDINBURG 18 files its Original Answer and would show the Court as follows:

GENERAL DENIAL

Defendant generally denies the allegations contained in Plaintiff's petition and since they are allegations of fact, Plaintiff should be required to prove them by a preponderance of the evidence in accordance with the laws of the State of Texas.

PRAYER II.

BASED ON THE FOREGOING Defendant AMERICAN MULTI-CINEMA, INC. D/B/A AMC EDINBURG 18 prays that Plaintiff take nothing by his suit, for the recovery of its costs in defending this suit, and for such further relief to which it is justly entitled.

Electronically Filed 198/22/2020 1:23 PM Hidalgo County District Clerks Reviewed By: Andria Garcia

Respectfully submitted,

THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.

By: /s/ Heather H. Phelps

Heather H. Phelps State Bar No. 24042118 Kaitlin Cswaykus State Bar No. 24094607

700 N. Pearl Street, 25th Floor Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 871-8200

Fax: (214) 871-8209

E-Mail: hphelps@thompsoncoe.com
E-Mail: kcswaykus@thompsoncoe.com

ROERIG, OLIVEIRA & FISHER, L.L.P.

Victor V. Vicinaiz State Bar No. 20562300

10225 N. Tenth Street McAllen, Texas 78504 Telephone: (956) 393-6300 - phone

Fax: (956) 386-1625 vvicinaiz@rofllp.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that the foregoing document was electronically filed on May 22, 2020, with the Clerk of the Court using the electronic filing system and that the electronic filing system will send a "Notice of Electronic Filing" to the following attorneys of record who have consented in writing to accept this Notice as service of this document:

Richard A. Hinojosa Hinojosa Law, PLLC 150 W. Parker Road, Suite 201 Houston, Texas 77076

<u>/s/ Heather Phelps</u>

Heather H. Phelps Kaitlin Cswaykus

Case 7:20-cv-00140 Document 1 Filed on 06/01/20 in TXSD Page 28 of 42

Proof of Submission

Submission ID: 43186573

Submission Date and Time: 05/22/20 01:23 PM CDST

Case Information

Case Title: Nestor Elizondo VS. American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AWC Theatres Client ID: 03800.041

Edinburg 18

Jurisdiction: Hidalgo County - 206th District Court Case Category: Civil - Injury or Damage

Case Type: Other Injury or Damage

Cause No: C-1382-20-D

Attorney: Leticia Aguilar Filer: Elva Jasso

Payment Account: FileTime Platinum Card

Case Parties		
Party Type	Name	Our Client
Plaintiff	Nestor Elizondo	No
Defendant	American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AMC Theatres Edinburg 18	Yes

Filings

Filing Type: eFile & eServe

Filing	Documents		
Answer/Response	Document	Туре	Security
	Original Answer-AMC - Elizondo.pdf	Lead Document	Does not contain sensitive data

Filing Comments:

Service Contacts

Name	Firm Name	Case Party	Email
Heather Phelps	Thompson Coe Cousins & Irons LLP	American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AMC Theatres Edinburg 18	hphelps@thompsoncoe.com
Kaitlin Cswaykus	Thompson Coe Cousins & Irons LLP	American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AMC Theatres Edinburg 18	kcswaykus@thompsoncoe.com
Elva Jasso	Thompson Coe Cousins & Irons LLP	American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AMC Theatres Edinburg 18	ejasso@thompsoncoe.com
Victoria Borunda	Thompson Coe Cousins & Irons LLP	American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AMC Theatres Edinburg 18	vborunda@thompsoncoe.com

Service Recipients

Name	Firm Name	Case Party	Email
Richard Hinojosa		Nestor Elizondo	richardhinojosa@hinojosalaw.com
Roxanne Hinojosa		Nestor Elizondo	roxannehinojosa@hinojosalaw.com
Melissa Brown		Nestor Elizondo	mbrown@hinojosalaw.com
Alexandra Thomas		Nestor Elizondo	athomas@hinojosalaw.com
Francisco Sanchez		Nestor Elizondo	psanchez@hinojosalaw.com
Richard Hinojosa		N/A	e-service@hinojosalaw.com
Victor Vincent Vicinaiz	Tyler Public List	American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AMC Theatres Edinburg 18	wicinaiz@rofllp.com

© 2020 FileTime. All rights reserved.



Fees Breakdown	
Court Fees	
Answer/Response	
Filing Fee	\$0.00
Total Fee For This Filing	\$0.00
Submission Fees	
eFiling Manager Convenience Fee	\$0.12
FileTime Service Fee	\$3.99
Sales Tax on FileTime Fee	\$0.33
Total Submission Fees	[\$4.44]
Total Fees for this Submission	\$4.44

Credit Card Information Breakdown

Your credit card statement will show:

Pleading

Jurisdiction (TXEFILE) eFiling Manager (Tyler (TX)file Conv Fee)

\$0.00 \$4.44

The above fees are **estimates only** and are subject to change after clerk review. You should not use this page for billing purposes. Your firm eFiling Administrator should run a billing report under Admin > Reports.

EXHIBIT 5

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MCALLEN DIVISION

NESTOR ELIZONDO	§
	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
v.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO
	§
AMERICAN MULTI-CINEMA, INC.	Š
D/B/A AMC THEATRES EDINBURG	Š
18,	§
•	§
Defendant.	§

INDEX OF MATTERS BEING FILED

Pursuant to Local Rule 81, an index of matters being filed along with Defendant's Notice of Removal is as follows:

Exhibit	1.	Cirri1	Correr	Sheet:
EXHIBIT	1:	CIVII	Cover	oneet:

Exhibit 2: Plaintiff's Original Petition and Request for Disclosure;

Exhibit 3: Citation and Return of Service for Defendant American Multi-

Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AMC Theatres Edinburg 18;

Exhibit 4: Original Answer of Defendant American Multi-Cinema, Inc.

d/b/a AMC Edinburg 18;

Exhibit 5: Index of Matters Being Filed;

Exhibit 6: List of Parties and Counsel;

Exhibit 7: Defendant's Corporate Disclosure Statement; and

Exhibit 8: The State Court's Docket Sheet.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.

By: _____/s/ Heather H. Phelps_

HEATHER H. PHELPS, ATTORNEY IN CHARGE

Southern District Bar No. 3554184

State Bar No. 24042118

KAITLIN J. CSWAYKUS

Southern District Bar No. 3352943

State Bar No. 24094607

700 N. Pearl Street, 25th Floor

Dallas, Texas 75201 Phone: (214) 871-8200 Fax: (214) 871-8209

Email: hphelps@thompsoncoe.com

kcswaykus@thompsoncoe.com

ROERIG, OLIVEIRA & FISHER, L.L.P.

VICTOR V. VICINAIZ Southern District Bar No. 10956 State Bar No. 20562300

10225 N. Tenth Street McAllen, Texas 78504 Phone: (956) 393-6300

Fax: (956) 386-1625

Email: <u>vvicinaiz@rofllp.com</u>

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT AMERICAN MULTI-CINEMA, INC. D/B/A AMC EDINBURG 18

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on June 1, 2020, a true and correct copy of the foregoing instrument was delivered to all known counsel of record in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and any applicable Local Rules, as follows:

Via E-file:

Richard A. Hinojosa Hinojosa Law, PLLC 150 W. Parker Road, Suite 201 Houston, Texas 77076 Tel: (713) 884-1663 Fax: (713) 422-2493 richardhinojosa@hinojosalaw.com e-service@hinojosalaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

/s/ Heather H. Phelps_____ HEATHER H. PHELPS

EXHIBIT 6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MCALLEN DIVISION

NESTOR ELIZONDO	§
	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
v.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO.
	§
AMERICAN MULTI-CINEMA, INC.	§
D/B/A AMC THEATRES EDINBURG	§
18,	§
•	Š
Defendant.	<u> </u>

DEFENDANT AMERICAN MULTI-CINEMA, INC. D/B/A AMC EDINBURG 18'S LIST OF PARTIES AND COUNSEL

Pursuant to Local Rule 81, the following are the parties and counsel in the abovecaptioned matter:

- 1. Plaintiff: Nestor Elizondo
- 2. Defendant: American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AMC Edinburg 18 (incorrectly named as d/b/a AMC Theatres Edinburg 18)
- 3. Plaintiff's Counsel: Richard A. Hinojosa

Hinojosa Law, PLLC

150 W. Parker Road, Suite 201

Houston, Texas 77076 Tel: (713) 884-1663 Fax: (713) 422-2493

richardhinojosa@hinojosalaw.com

e-service@hinojosalaw.com

4. Defendant's Counsel: Heather H. Phelps, Attorney in Charge

Kaitlin J. Cswaykus

Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons, LLP

700 N. Pearl Street, 25th Floor

Dallas, Texas 75201 Phone: (214) 871-8200 Fax: (214) 871-8209

<u>hphelps@thompsoncoe.com</u> kcswaykus@thompsoncoe.com

AND

Victor V. Vicinaiz Roerig, Oliveira & Fisher, LLP 10225 N. Tenth Street McAllen, Texas 78504 Phone: (956) 393-6300 Fax: (956) 386-1625

Respectfully submitted,

vvicinaiz@rofllp.com

THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.

By: ____/s/ Heather H. Phelps_

HEATHER H. PHELPS, ATTORNEY IN CHARGE Southern District Bar No. 3554184 State Bar No. 24042118 KAITLIN J. CSWAYKUS Southern District Bar No. 3352943 State Bar No. 24094607

700 N. Pearl Street, 25th Floor Dallas, Texas 75201 Phone: (214) 871-8200

Fax: (214) 871-8209

Email: hphelps@thompsoncoe.com kcswaykus@thompsoncoe.com

ROERIG, OLIVEIRA & FISHER, L.L.P.

VICTOR V. VICINAIZ Southern District Bar No. 10956 State Bar No. 20562300

10225 N. Tenth Street McAllen, Texas 78504 Phone: (956) 393-6300 Fax: (956) 386-1625

Email: vvicinaiz@rofllp.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT AMERICAN MULTI-CINEMA, INC. D/B/A AMC EDINBURG 18

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on June 1, 2020, a true and correct copy of the foregoing instrument was delivered to all known counsel of record in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and any applicable Local Rules, as follows:

Via E-file:

Richard A. Hinojosa Hinojosa Law, PLLC 150 W. Parker Road, Suite 201 Houston, Texas 77076 Tel: (713) 884-1663 Fax: (713) 422-2493

richardhinojosa@hinojosalaw.com

e-service@hinojosalaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

_____/s/ Heather H. Phelps_____ HEATHER H. PHELPS

EXHIBIT 7

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MCALLEN DIVISION

NESTOR ELIZONDO Plaintiff, V. AMERICAN MULTI-CINEMA	8	CIVIL ACTION NO.	
D/B/A AMC THEATRES ED 18, Defendant.	INBURG § § § § §		
		INC. D/B/A AMC EDINBU IRE STATEMENT	RG 18'S
Pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 7.1	l, which states:		
court must file a statem	nent that identifies on that owns 10°	action or proceeding in a dis s any parent corporation and % or more of its stock or st	l any
DEFENDANT AMERICAN N	MULTI-CINEMA,	INC. D/B/A AMC EDIN	IBURG 18
incorrectly named as d/b/a A	MC Theatres Edir	burg 18 makes the following	g disclosure
1. Is party a publicly held	corporation or ot	her publicly held entity?	
(Check one)	□ YES	ĭ NO	
2. Does party have any pa	rent corporations	3?	
(Check one)	▼ YES	□ NO	
3. Is 10% or more of the st	cock of party owne	d by a publicly held corpora	tion or othe
publicly held entity?			
(Check one)	≥ YES	□NO	
If YES, identify all such	owners: AMC E	ntertainment Holdings, Inc	.

4.	Is there any other publicly held corporation or other publicly held entity that has			
	a direct financial interest in the outcome of the litigation?			
	(Check one)	□ YES	ĭ NO	
	If YES, identify entity and	nature of interest: l	N/A	
5.	Is party a trade association	ty a trade association?		
	(Check one)	□ YES	ĭ NO	
	If YES, identify all members of the association, their parent corporations, and a			
	publicly held companies t	hat own 10% or mo	re of a member's stock: N/A	
		Respectfully subm	itted,	
	THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.			
		By:		
		VICTOR V. VICIN Southern Distr State Bar No. 2 10225 N. Tent McAllen, Texas Phone: (956) 3 Fax: (956) 386	rict Bar No. 10956 20562300 h Street s 78504 93-6300	

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT AMERICAN MULTI-CINEMA, INC. D/B/A AMC EDINBURG 18

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on June 1, 2020, a true and correct copy of the foregoing instrument was delivered to all known counsel of record in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and any applicable Local Rules, as follows:

Via E-file:

Richard A. Hinojosa Hinojosa Law, PLLC 150 W. Parker Road, Suite 201 Houston, Texas 77076 Tel: (713) 884-1663 Fax: (713) 422-2493 richardhinojosa@hinojosalaw.com

e-service@hinojosalaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

/s/ Heather H. Phelps_____ HEATHER H. PHELPS

EXHIBIT 8

Skip to Main Content Logout My Account Search Menu New Civil Search Refine Search Back

Location: All Courts Images

Register of Actions

Case No. C-1382-20-D

Nestor Elizondo VS. American Multi-Cinema, Inc.

d/b/a AMC Theatres Edinburg 18

Case Type:
Date Filed:
Location:

Injury or Damage -Other (OCA) 04/16/2020

206th District Court

Party Information

Defendant American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a AMC Theatres Edinburg

18

Attorneys Heather H. Sauter

Retained

214-871-8200(W)

Plaintiff

Elizondo, Nestor

Richard A. Hinojosa

Retained

713-884-1663(W)

Events & Orders of the Court

OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS

04/16/20 Adaintiff's Original Petition (OCA)

from TexFile

& REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

04/21/20/20itation

American Multi-Cinema, Inc. d/b/a

AMC Theatres Edinburg 18

Unserved

04/21/20 **20**itation Issued

05/22/20<mark>2/0nswer</mark>

07/21/20 Mickler (5:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Reyna, Rose G.)

REVIEW FILE

Financial Information

	Plaintiff Elizondo, Nestor Total Financial Assessment Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 06/01/2020			
04/17/2020	Transaction Assessment			320.00
04/17/2020	EFile Payments	Receipt # DC-2020-006048	Elizondo, Nestor	(320.00)